|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Marked by Group:** | Team 15 |
| **Group & Project Name under evaluation:** | Team 10 – Toronto Parking Project |
| **Marks:** | 50/50 |

**Project Demo Marking Scheme**

**Feedback:**

|  |
| --- |
| **-Great work, no complaints** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project Demo Marking Scheme** | **Max Mark** |
|  |  |
| **Specification Negotiation** | 10/10 |
| Dev team has organized meeting with PM to discuss SRS provided | 5/5 |
| A finalized list of functionalities/use cases has been agreed by Dev and PM | 5/5 |
|  |  |
| **Quality of Demo** | 15/15 |
| Demo is well presented/organized | 5/5 |
| Clear overview of the demo | 5/5 |
| Demonstration involves reasonable size of data (e.g. > 100 example data instances) | 5/5 |
|  |  |
| **Quality of the Developed Software** | 15/15 |
| Each of the agreed functionalities/use cases has been presented/demonstrated  in the demo (-1 for each missing functionality/use case) | 5/5 |
| Each of the implemented functionalities/use cases is consistent with the  agreed SRS between Dev and PM (-1 for each incorrect functionality/use case) | 10/10 |
|  |  |
| **UI Design** | 7/7 |
| The developed system has UIs | 3/3 |
| The layouts are clear | 2/2 |
| Has UIs for exception handling (e.g., prompting error messages if necessary) | 2/2 |
|  |  |
| **Non-functional Requirement** | 3/3 |
| Response time is reasonable (<2s) | 3/3 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| **Total** | 50/50 |